A NOTE ON SELF ORBIT EQUIVALENCES OF ANOSOV FLOWS AND BUNDLES WITH FIBERWISE ANOSOV FLOWS

THOMAS BARTHELMÉ AND ANDREY GOGOLEV

Abstract. We show that a self orbit equivalence of a transitive Anosov flow on a 3-manifold which is homotopic to identity has to either preserve every orbit or the Anosov flow is $\mathbb{R}$-covered and the orbit equivalence has to be of a specific type. This result shows that one can remove a relatively unnatural assumption in a result of Farrell and Gogolev [FG16] about the topological rigidity of bundles supporting a fiberwise Anosov flow when the fiber is 3-dimensional.

arXiv:1702.01178v1 [math.DS] 3 Feb 2017

1. Introduction

In this note we give a complete description of self orbit equivalences homotopic to identity in dimension 3. Before stating the theorem, recall that an Anosov flow is called $\mathbb{R}$-covered if the leaf space of its weak stable (or equivalently weak unstable) foliation is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ (in particular, suspensions and geodesic flows are $\mathbb{R}$-covered).

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a 3-manifold and $g^t\colon M \to M$ be a transitive Anosov flow. Let $h\colon M \to M$ be a self orbit equivalence of g^t which is homotopic to identity. Then we have:*

1. *If g^t is not $\mathbb{R}$-covered, then h preserves every orbit of g^t.*
2. *If g^t is a suspension of an Anosov diffeomorphism or the geodesic flow of a negatively curved surface, then h preserves every orbits of g^t.*
3. *If g^t is $\mathbb{R}$-covered but not one of the above two cases, then there exist $\eta\colon M \to M$ (independent of h) a self orbit equivalence of g^t which is homotopic to identity, $\eta \neq \mathrm{Id}$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (depending on h) such that $h \circ \eta^k$ preserves every orbits of g^t. Moreover, there are two possible sub-cases*
   (a) *Either, for all i, $\eta^i \neq \mathrm{Id}$, in which case k above is unique,*
   (b) *Or, there exists q such that $\eta^q = \mathrm{Id}$ in which case g^t is the q-cover of a geodesic flow on a negatively curved surface. In this case, the number k is unique modulo q.*

Remark 1.2. If h preserves every orbit of g^t then it follows that h is isotopic to identity via a path of self orbit equivalences which preserve orbits as well, see [FG16, Lemma 4.11].

We now explain how the above description can be used to improve a topological rigidity result of Farrell and Gogolev which we proceed to describe.

Recall that a smooth locally trivial fiber bundle $p\colon E \to X$ whose fiber M is a closed smooth manifold is called *Anosov* if the total space E can be equipped with a continuous flow which preserves the fibers $M_x = p^{-1}(x)$ and whose restrictions to the fibers $g^t_x\colon M_x \to M_x$, $x \in X$, are C^∞ Anosov flows which depend continuously on x in the C^1-topology. Also recall that $p\colon E \to X$ is called *topologically trivial (homotopically trivial)* if there exist a continuous trivialization $r\colon E \to M$ such that the restrictions $r_x\colon M_x \to M$ are homeomorphisms (homotopy equivalences) for each $x \in X$.

In [FG16], Farrell and Gogolev prove, among other results, the following rigidity result.

Theorem (Theorem 4.2 of [FG16])**.** *Let X be a closed manifold or a finite simplicial complex and let $p\colon E \to X$ be a homotopically trivial Anosov bundle whose fiberwise flows $g^t_x\colon M_x \to M_x$, $x \in X$, satisfy the following conditions:*

a) The flows $g^t_x\colon M_x \to M_x$ are transitive Anosov flows;
b) The flows $g^t_x\colon M_x \to M_x$ do not have freely homotopic orbits.

Then the bundle $p\colon E \to X$ is topologically trivial.

We will show that, thanks to Theorem 1.1, the assumption b) turns out not to be needed for Farrell-Gogolev's proof to go through, when the fibers are 3-dimensional.

Corollary 1.3 (to Theorem 1.1)**.** *Theorem 4.2 of* [FG16] *holds when the fiber is 3-dimensional assuming only that the fiberwise Anosov flows are transitive.*

The reason one would want to remove the assumption b) of Farrell-Gogolev's result is that it seems to be a quite unnatural condition, as it does not appear to be verified very often. Indeed, in dimension 3, Barthelmé and Fenley [BF15b] show that lots of (or even "most") examples have at least some free homotopy class with *infinitely* many distinct orbits. In fact a (conjecturally) complete list of types of 3-dimensional Anosov flows that satisfy assumption b) is as follows:

(1) The suspensions of Anosov diffeomorphisms;
(2) The geodesic flow of negatively curved surfaces;
(3) The(generalized) Bonatti-Langevin examples (see [BL94, Bar98]).

As for higher dimensions, it seems difficult to conjecture what is the most common behavior, as there are very few (classes of) examples. The two classical examples are suspensions of Anosov diffeomorphisms, and geodesic flows on manifolds of negative curvature. These examples do not admit freely homotopic orbits. But there are also some, quite special, higher dimensional examples constructed by surgery, which have pairs of freely homotopic periodic orbits.

In the proof of Theorem 4.2 of [FG16], they need the assumption b) in only one place: to show that a self orbit equivalence of the fiberwise Anosov has to fix every orbit. Hence, thanks to Theorem 1.1, if the flow in the fiber is not $\mathbb{R}$-covered or is in case (2), then we can use Farrell–Gogolev's proof without modification to obtain Corollary 1.3. However if the flow is in case (3) of Theorem 1.1, then more work is required to deduce the Corollary. We explain the necessary modification of Farrell–Gogolev's proof using the fact that η is isotopic to identity. This isotopy result follows from work of Gabai-Kazez and Calegari (see Theorem 3.1 in the last section).

Remark 1.4. Because the fiber of the bundle is a 3-dimensional manifold M, the triviality of the bundle actually follows from homotopic triviality without the dynamical assumption, that is, without assuming the existence of fiberwise Anosov flow. Namely, when the base X is a simplicial complex then a homotopically trivial bundle can be topologically (smoothly) trivialized provided that the group $\mathrm{Diff}_0(M)$ ($\mathrm{Top}_0(M)$) of diffeomorphisms (homeomorphisms) which are homotopic to identity is (weakly) homotopy equivalent to a point. Indeed, the construction of such trivialization can be carried out in a straightforward way by inductively extending the trivializing map over the skeleta of X using vanishing of higher homotopy groups of $\mathrm{Diff}_0(M)$ ($\mathrm{Top}_0(M)$).

When M is Haken $\mathrm{Top}_0(M) \simeq *$ by work of Hatcher [Hat76] and Ivanov [Iva76]. Further combining with Hatcher's proof of the Smale conjecture $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{D}^3, \partial) \simeq *$ [Hat83] one also has $\mathrm{Diff}_0(M) \simeq *$. When M is hyperbolic Gabai proved that $\mathrm{Diff}_0(M) \simeq *$ [Gab01].[1] Hence in the case when M is Haken or hyperbolic the bundle is, in fact, smoothly trivial due to deep work in 3-manifold topology without employing the Anosov assumption. (The case when X is a closed topological manifold would follow as in the end of the proof of Corollary 1.3 in Section 3).

However our proof of topological triviality (using the Anosov assumption) is much simpler, as it only relies on the fact that $\mathrm{Diff}_0(M)$ is path-connected (see Theorem 3.1) and is indifferent to the type of 3-manifold M.

Remark 1.5. The assumption of transitivity in Theorem 1.1 is unnecessary, but makes the proof easier. Given that this assumption is crucial for Farrell-Gogolev's result, we also include it here to for the sake of brevity.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to keep this note short, we will refer to Section 2 of [BF15b] for all the necessary background information on Anosov flows in dimension 3. Notice that there is one important difference of convention: in [BF15b], free homotopy between orbits refers to a free homotopy between the *non-oriented* curves represented by the orbits, whereas in this present note, we do *not* forget the orientation.

What will be essential for the proof is the notion of *lozenge*, *chain of lozenges* and *string of lozenges*. Call $\mathcal{O}$ the orbit space of the Anosov flow g^t, i.e., $\mathcal{O} = \widetilde{M}/\tilde{g}^t$. The space $\mathcal{O}$ with its induced topology is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$. For any point (or set) $x \in M$, we write $\mathcal{F}^s(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}^u(x)$ for the weak stable and weak unstable leaf through x. The notation $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u$ refers to the lifted foliations.

Definition 2.1. *Let α, β be two orbits in $\mathcal{O}$ and let $A \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\alpha)$, $B \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\alpha)$, $C \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\beta)$ and $D \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\beta)$ be four half leaves satisfying:*

- *For any $\lambda^s \in \mathcal{L}^s$, $\lambda^s \cap B \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\lambda^s \cap D \neq \emptyset$,*
- *For any $\lambda^u \in \mathcal{L}^u$, $\lambda^u \cap A \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\lambda^u \cap C \neq \emptyset$,*

[1] We would like to thank Tom Farrell for his help with these references.

- *The half-leaf A does not intersect D and B does not intersect C.*

A lozenge L with corners α and β *is the open subset of $\mathcal{O}$ given by (see Figure 1):*

$$L := \{p \in \mathcal{O} \mid \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(p) \cap B \neq \emptyset,\ \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(p) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The half-leaves A, B, C and D are called the sides.



FIGURE 1. A lozenge with corners α, β and sides A, B, C, D

We say that two lozenges are *adjacent* if they either share a side (and a corner), or they share a corner.

Definition 2.2. *A* chain of lozenges $\mathcal{C}$ *is a finite or countable collection of lozenges such that, for any two lozenges $L, \bar{L} \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a finite sequence of lozenges $L_0, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $L = L_0$, $\bar{L} = L_n$ and for any $i = 0, \dots n-1$, L_i and L_{i+1} are adjacent.*

A string of lozenges *is a chain of lozenges such that any two adjacent lozenges only share corners.*

(A) A string of lozenges (B) A chain of lozenges

FIGURE 2. Chain and string of lozenges

Lozenges are essential to the study of freely homotopic orbits because Fenley [Fen98] proved that if a periodic orbit α is freely homotopic to another periodic orbit β or its inverse then there exists two coherent lifts $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ in $\widetilde{M}$ that are two corners of a chain of lozenges (see [BF15b, Section 2]). Conversely, if there exists two lifts $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ in $\widetilde{M}$ that are two corners of a chain of lozenges, then, up to switching α and β, the orbit α is freely homotopic to $\beta^{\pm 1}$ or $\beta^{\pm 2}$.

Let $\widetilde{\alpha}$ be the lift of a periodic orbit, then one can show that there exists a uniquely defined maximal chain of lozenges which contains $\widetilde{\alpha}$ as a corner. We call this maximal chain $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ (see [BF15b, Section 2]). By Fenley's result, the union of the corners in $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ contains (a coherent lift of) the complete free homotopy class of α, as well as all the orbits freely homotopic to the inverse of α.

We mention two results about lozenges that we will use:

Lemma 2.3. *Let L_1 and L_2 be two lozenges sharing a side. Then an orbit α in L_1 or L_2 is the corner of at most one lozenge.*

Proof. Any orbit inside (the interior of) a lozenge is the corner of at most two lozenges (see [BF15b, Lemma 2.18]). Now using the fact that L_1 and L_2 share a side one can see that one of the possible lozenges cannot exist. □

Lemma 2.4 ([BF15b])**.** *There are only finitely many periodic orbits α in M such that $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ is* not *a string of lozenges*

Proof. See Proposition 2.25 of [BF15b] and its proof. □

We finally recall a result, due to Barbot and Fenley, about $\mathbb{R}$-covered Anosov flows, which will give the map η from case (3) of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 2.5 (Barbot [Bar95, Bar01], Fenley [Fen94])**.** *Let g^t be an $\mathbb{R}$-covered Anosov flow on a 3-manifold M. Then either g^t is orbit equivalent to a suspension of an Anosov diffeomorphism, or, there exists a Hölder-continuous homeomorphism $\eta\colon M \to M$, homotopic to identity, such that η^2 is a self orbit equivalence of g^t.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will start the proof by making several general remarks about the possible action of the lift of h on the lifts of periodic orbits.

First, since h is homotopic to identity, for any periodic orbit α of g^t, $h(\alpha)$ is freely homotopic to α (with the orientation preserved). Hence, if $\widetilde{h}$ is the lift of h to $\widetilde{M}$ given by the homotopy to identity, then the orbits $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ will either be equal, or be two corners on a chain of lozenges (by the above discussion, [Fen98]).

Now suppose that α is a periodic orbit and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a lift. Let $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ be the maximal chain of lozenges containing $\widetilde{\alpha}$. Then $\widetilde{h}$ must preserve $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ globally.

Suppose for a moment that $\widetilde{h}$ preserves one lozenge L in $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$, then we claim that $\widetilde{h}$ must act as the identity on the whole chain of lozenge $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$. Indeed, let β_1 and β_2 be the two corners of L. Since L is preserved by $\widetilde{h}$, the corners are either fixed or switched. Consider g the non-trivial element of $\pi_1(M)$ which represents α and fixes each corner of $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$. Since the action of g through the leaves of β_1 and β_2 are opposite and g commutes with $\widetilde{h}$, we conclude that $\widetilde{h}$ cannot switch β_1 and β_2. Therefore, $\widetilde{h}$ has to fix β_1 and β_2 as well as preserve each side of the stable and unstable leaves through β_1 and β_2. Therefore, $\widetilde{h}$ also has to preserve every other lozenge admitting β_1 or β_2 as a corner. Applying that argument inductively shows that $\widetilde{h}$ must then act as the identity on the whole chain of lozenges $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$.

Hence we showed that, for any periodic orbit α and the corresponding chain of lozenges $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$, the map $\widetilde{h}$ either acts as the identity on $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$, or does not preserve any lozenge in the chain.

We can now head on to the proof.

Case 1: We start by assuming that g^t is not $\mathbb{R}$-covered. Then there exists at least two periodic orbits α_1 and α_2, which lift to $\widetilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_2$ in the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\alpha}_1)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\alpha}_2)$ are not separated (see [Fen98]). Moreover, up to changing α_2, we can assume that $\widetilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_2$ are the opposite corners of two lozenges L_1 and L_2 that share a side (see Figure 3). Assume that $\widetilde{\alpha}_1 \in L_1$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_2 \in L_2$, and denote by $\widetilde{\alpha}_3 \in L_1 \cap L_2$ the last corner. We want to show that $\widetilde{h}$ fixes $\widetilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_2$, and hence the whole chain of lozenges containing them.

Let B_1 denote the quadrant determined by the stable and unstable leaves of $\widetilde{\alpha}_1$ opposite to L_1 (see Figure 3) and let $\hat{B}_1 \supset B_1$ denote the union of B_1 and two quadrants adjacent to B_1, that is, $\hat{B}_1$ is the union of all quadrants at $\widetilde{\alpha}_1$, but the one containing L_1. Similarly, denote by B_2 the quadrant determined by the stable and unstable leaves of $\widetilde{\alpha}_2$ opposite to L_2 and by $\hat{B}_2$ the union of B_2 and two adjacent quadrants. Notice in particular that $\hat{B}_1 \cap \hat{B}_2 = \emptyset$.



FIGURE 3. The lozenges L_1 and L_2

Since periodic orbits of g^t are dense and thanks to Lemma 2.4 we can pick $\widetilde{\beta}_1 \in L_1$ such that $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\beta}_1)$ is a string of lozenges. Further, by Lemma 2.3, $\widetilde{\beta}_1$ must be an end-point of this string. Also note that all corners of $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\beta}_1)$ except $\widetilde{\beta}_1$ must lie in B_1. If $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}_1) = \widetilde{\beta}_1$ then L_1 is fixed and we are done by preceding discussion. Thus we can assume that $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}_1) \neq \widetilde{\beta}_1$ and, hence, $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}_1) \in B_1$. Analogously, we can find a periodic orbit $\widetilde{\beta}_2 \in L_2$ such that $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}_2) \in B_2$.

Because L_1 and L_2 share a side, by lozenges property, the leaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\beta}_1)$ intersects the leaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{\beta}_2)$. On the other hand we have $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\beta}_1)) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}_1)) \subset \hat{B}_1$ because $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}_1) \in B_1$. And similarly, $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{\beta}_2)) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}_2)) \subset \hat{B}_2$. Because $\hat{B}_1$ and $\hat{B}_2$ are disjoint we obtain that $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\beta}_1))$ and $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{\beta}_2))$ are disjoint which yields a contradiction. Therefore $\widetilde{h}$ acts as the identity on the maximal chain of lozenges containing $\widetilde{\alpha}_1$.

So far, we showed that any chain of lozenges such that some of its corners are on non-separated leaves must be fixed by $\widetilde{h}$. Now, take any periodic orbit α in M and a lift $\widetilde{\alpha}$. We want to show that $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\alpha}) = \widetilde{\alpha}$. If $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ is not a string of lozenges, i.e., there exists two corners on non-separated leaves (see [BF15b, Section 2]), then we already showed that $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is fixed. So we may assume that $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ is a string of lozenges. Recall that every weak stable and weak unstable leaves are dense in M. So, up to taking a different lift, we may also assume that, say, the stable leaf of $\widetilde{\alpha}$ intersect the lozenge L_1. But, since $\mathcal{FH}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ is a string of lozenges, the only other corner $\widetilde{\beta}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\beta})$ may also intersects L_1 is one of the opposite corner from $\widetilde{\alpha}$. That is, $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ are the two corner of a unique lozenge L. Since $\widetilde{h}$ fixes the lozenge L_1, it must also fix the lozenge L. Therefore, by the same argument as before, $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\alpha})$ cannot be $\widetilde{\beta}$, and hence we have $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\alpha}) = \widetilde{\alpha}$.

So every periodic orbit in $\mathcal{O}$ has to be fixed by $\tilde{h}$, and by density of periodic orbits (since g^t is transitive), every orbit is fixed. This concludes the proof of item 1 of the Theorem.

Case 2: Now, suppose that g^t is $\mathbb{R}$-covered. Then M is oriented (and transitivity is automatic) [Bar95]. According to Theorem 2.5, there are two possible cases. If g^t is orbit equivalent to a suspension of an Anosov diffeomorphism, then there does not exist any distinct pair of periodic orbits that are freely homotopic and hence h fixes every orbit (see, for instance, [BF15b] or [FG16]). So we are in case (2), and we are done.

Otherwise, Theorem 2.5 gives us a homeomorphism homotopic to identity η. Let $\widetilde{\eta}$ be the lift to the universal cover given by the homotopy to Id.

Suppose first that there exists a periodic orbit α such that its lift is fixed by $\widetilde{h}$ and a periodic orbit β such that $h(\beta) \neq \beta$. Then, one can choose lifts $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{\alpha})$ intersects $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\beta})$. An argument similar to one used above gives a contradiction.

So either every lift of periodic orbits is fixed, or they are all moved by $\widetilde{h}$. If every lift is fixed, then there is nothing to prove. So we assume that, for every periodic orbit $\widetilde{\alpha}$, $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\alpha}) \neq \widetilde{\alpha}$. Hence, for every α periodic orbit, there exists k_α such that $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\alpha}) = \widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha}(\widetilde{\alpha})$. Our goal is to show that k_α is in fact independent of the orbit α.

Let α be a fixed periodic orbit, and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ a lift. Let $\widetilde{\beta}$ be a lift of another periodic orbit. Up to acting by the fundamental group, we can assume that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\beta}) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{\alpha}) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, still without loss of generality, we assume that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\beta})$ is above $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\alpha})$ for the orientation that makes the projection of η to the stable leaf space $\mathcal{L}^s$ an increasing function.

Hence, $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}))$ has to intersect $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\alpha})) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(\widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha}(\widetilde{\alpha}))$, and has to be above $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha}(\widetilde{\alpha}))$, because h preserves the orientation. So, in the leaf space $\mathcal{L}^s \simeq \mathbb{R}$, the stable leaves $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s\left(\widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha}(\widetilde{\beta})\right)$ both have to be in between $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha}(\widetilde{\alpha}))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(\widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha+1}(\widetilde{\alpha}))$. Adding the fact that $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta})$ and $\widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha}(\widetilde{\beta})$ are both corners of the same string of lozenges, we conclude that $\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{\beta}) = \widetilde{\eta}^{2k_\alpha}(\widetilde{\beta})$.

So $k_\alpha = k_\beta$ and since this is true for any β, we proved that there exists k depending only on h and the flow, such that the action of $\widetilde{h}$ on the orbit space is the same as the action of $\widetilde{\eta}^{2k}$, i.e., $\widetilde{h} \circ \widetilde{\eta}^{-2k}$ preserves every orbits of the flow.

Now, using [BF15a, Theorem B], we conclude that either $\eta^i \neq \mathrm{Id}$ for any i, or there exists q such that $\eta^{2q} = \mathrm{Id}$, in which case, g^t is orbit equivalent to a q-cover of the geodesic flow of a negatively curved surface. Renaming η^2 by η, and k by $-k$ we get the exact statement of Theorem 1.1. □

3. Proof of Corollary 1.3 for $\mathbb{R}$-covered fiberwise flows

We will need to use the following homotopy-implies-isotopy result of Calegari based on a homotopy-implies-isotopy result of Gabai-Kazez.

Theorem 3.1 (Corollary 5.3.12 of [Cal00], [GK97])**.** *If a 3-dimensional manifold M admits an $\mathbb{R}$-covered foliation then any homeomorphism $\eta\colon M \to M$ homotopic to the identity is isotopic to the identity.*

The only step in which assumption b) of Theorem 4.2 [FG16] is used, is to show that if $h\colon M \to M$ is a self orbit equivalence of a transitive Anosov flow, homotopic to the identity, then h preserves every orbit. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, to establish Corollary 1.3 we only have to deal with the case (3): When

the Anosov flow in the fiber is $\mathbb{R}$-covered, and not a suspension flow of an Anosov diffeomorphism or a geodesic flow on a negatively curved surface.

Recall that the proof of Theorem 4.2 of [FG16] is based on reducing the structure group of $p\colon E \to X$ from $\mathrm{Top}(M)$ to a special subgroup $F < \mathrm{Top}(M)$, which comes from using structural stability of Anosov flows. Recall that group F consists of all homeomorphisms $\varphi\colon M \to M$ such that

- P1 $\varphi\colon M \to M$ is a self orbit equivalence of $g^t\colon M \to M$, where g^t is the Anosov flow on a "base-point" fiber $M = M_{x_0}$;
- P2 φ is homotopic to identity Id;
- P3 φ is bi-Hölder continuous;
- P4 φ is differentiable along the orbits of the flow with a Hölder continuous derivative.

Then by Theorem 1.1, for each $\varphi \in F$ there exists a unique $k \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\varphi \circ \eta^k$ preserves the orbits of g^t. Here the group $\mathbb{F}$ is either $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ according to the cases $(3a)$ and $(3b)$ of Theorem 1.1. Hence, we can decompose F as the disjoint union

$$F = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{F}} F_k,$$

where F_k consists of $\varphi \in F$ for which $\varphi \circ \eta^k$ preserves the orbits of g^t. It is well known that η is Hölder continuous and can be chosen to be uniformly smooth along the orbits of g^t [KH95, Theorem 19.1.5]. A calculus exercise can show that a Hölder continuous orbit equivalence which is uniformly smooth along the orbits also must satisfy P4 and, hence, $\eta \in F$. It follows that

$$F_k = F \circ \eta^k \tag{1}$$

Remark 3.2. We would like to point out that the above difficulty with regularity of η does not occur in the finite order case, that is, when $\eta^q = \mathrm{Id}$, because in this case η can be chosen to be smooth. Indeed, the fiberwise flow is then conjugate to a finite lift of the geodesic flow on a negatively curved orbifold, and then η can be chosen to be the rotation by 2π along the fiber (see either [Bar95, BF15b, Fen94]). This technical difficulty can also be circumvented in the infinite order case using logic as follows: If $F_1 \neq \varnothing$ then one can replace η with any element of $F_1 \neq \varnothing$ which acts in the same way on orbits of g^t and already has the desired regularity property. Otherwise, if F_1 is empty then one can argue further that $F = F_0$, in which case the proof of [FG16] applies without a problem.

Because elements of F_0 preserve orbits of g^t, Lemma 4.11 of [FG16] applies to F_0 and provides a deformation retraction of F_0 to Id. Then the same deformation retraction can be used to retract F_k to η^k according to (1). We conclude that we have further reduced the structure group to $\mathbb{F} < F$, where $\mathbb{F} = \{\eta^k; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in the infinite order case and $\mathbb{F} = \{\eta^k; k \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}\}$ in the finite order case. Note that in the latter case it is crucial that $\eta^q = \mathrm{Id}$ in order to obtain the finite subgroup $\mathbb{F}$ via the deformation retraction.

To proceed with the proof we specialize to the case when the base space X is a finite simplicial complex. By a general position argument, X can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for some large N, so that each simplex $\Delta \subset X$ is a linear simplex in $\mathbb{R}^N$. In particular, such embedding yields barycentric coordinates on all simplices. Clearly these coordinates are compatible, in the sense that the restriction of coordinates to a face of a simplex gives the barycentric coordinates in the face.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a finite open cover of X which locally trivializes the bundle, that is, $p^{-1}(U) \to U$, is trivial for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. We also choose $\mathcal{U}$ so that each simplex of X is covered by at least one chart and so that for $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ the intersection $U \cap V$ is either empty or connected. Then, because we have reduced the structure group of $p\colon E \to X$ to $\mathbb{F}$, the transition functions $U \cap V \times M \to U \cap V \times M$ have the form

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, \eta^{k(U,V)}(y)) \tag{2}$$

Recall that by Theorem 3.1 homeomorphism η is isotopic to identity. Denote the isotopy by η^t, $t \in [0, 1]$, $\eta^0 = \mathrm{Id}$, $\eta^1 = \eta$ and let

$$\eta^{k+t} = \eta^t \circ \eta^k, k \in \mathbb{F}, t \in [0, 1]$$

(Note that if $\{\eta^t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ was a subgroup of $\mathrm{Top}(M)$ then we would be done by first enlarging $\mathbb{F}$ to $\{\eta^t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and then reducing to Id. However, it is not a subgroup and we will need to construct the topological trivialization by hand.)

We will construct the topological trivialization $r\colon E \to M$ using charts from $\mathcal{U}$. Given a chart $U \in \mathcal{U}$ the restriction of r to $p^{-1}(U)$ expressed in the U-chart will be denoted by r^U. By (2), if U and V overlap then

$$r^V = r^U \circ \eta^{k(U,V)}$$

We begin by trivializing over the vertices of X. For each vertex v we pick a chart $U \ni v$ and let $r_v^U = \mathrm{Id}$. Clearly, changing the chart to a chart $V \ni v$ results in $r_v^V = \eta^{k(U,V)}$. Now let $\Delta = [v_0, v_1, \dots v_m] \subset X$ be a simplex. For each $x \in \Delta$ let $(t_0, t_1, \dots t_m)$, $t_j \geq 0, \sum_j t_j = 1$, be the barycentric coordinates of x. Pick a chart $U \supset \Delta$ and for all $x \in \Delta$ define

$$r_x^U = \eta^{t_0 k_0 + t_2 k_1 + \dots t_m k_m},$$

where k_j are given by $r_{v_j}^U = \eta^{k_j}$. To check that r is well defined let V be another chart which covers Δ. Then $r_{v_j}^V = \eta^{k_j + k(U,V)}$ and, indeed,

$$r_x^V = \eta^{t_0(k_0+k(U,V))+t_2(k_1+k(U,V))+\dots t_m(k_m+k(U,V))} = \eta^{t_0 k_0 + t_2 k_1 + \dots t_m k_m + k(U,V)} = r_x^U \circ \eta^{k(U,V)}.$$

It remains to notice that homeomorphisms r_x^U depend continuously on $x \in \Delta$ and, hence, r_x depends continuously on $x \in X$. Thus r provides the posited topological trivialization.

It remains to address the case when X is a closed manifold (there are many topological manifolds which do not admit triangulations). Recall that every closed manifold is an ANR (Absolute Neighborhood Retract) and hence is homotopy equivalent to a finite simplicial complex $\bar{X}$ [Wes77]. Denote by $\alpha\colon \bar{X} \to X$ a homotopy equivalence and by $\beta\colon X \to \bar{X}$ its homotopy inverse. We can pull back $p\colon E \to X$ to a bundle $\alpha^* E \to \bar{X}$ using α. This pull-back bundle $\alpha^* E \to \bar{X}$ is naturally equipped with a fiberwise Anosov flow by pulling back the fiberwise Anosov flow on $E \to X$. Therefore, by the above proof $\alpha^* E \to \bar{X}$ is topologically trivial.

Now we can pull back using β to obtain a bundle $\beta^* \alpha^* E \to X$ which is obviously topologically trivial. But this bundle is isomorphic to the original bundle $E \to X$ because $\alpha \circ \beta$ is homotopic to identity. This completes the proof in the case when X is a closed manifold as well.

References

[Bar95] T. Barbot, *Caractérisation des flots d'Anosov en dimension 3 par leurs feuilletages faibles*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **15** (1995), no. 2, 247–270.

[Bar98] ———, *Generalizations of the Bonatti-Langevin example of Anosov flow and their classification up to topological equivalence*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), no. 4, 749–798.

[Bar01] ———, *Plane affine geometry and Anosov flows*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), no. 6, 871–889.

[BF15a] T. Barbot and S. Fenley, *Classification and rigidity of totally periodic pseudo-anosov flows in graph manifolds*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **35** (2015), no. 6, 1681–1722.

[BF15b] T. Barthelmé and S. R. Fenley, *Counting periodic orbits of Anosov flows in free homotopy classes*, ArXiv e-prints (2015).

[BL94] C. Bonatti and R. Langevin, *Un exemple de flot d'Anosov transitif transverse à un tore et non conjugué à une suspension*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **14** (1994), no. 4, 633–643.

[Cal00] D. Calegari, *The geometry of* $\mathbf{R}$*-covered foliations*, Geom. Topol. **4** (2000), 457–515 (electronic).

[Fen94] S. Fenley, *Anosov flows in* 3*-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **139** (1994), no. 1, 79–115.

[Fen98] ———, *The structure of branching in Anosov flows of* 3*-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), no. 2, 259–297.

[FG16] F. T. Farrell and A. Gogolev, *On bundles that admit fiberwise hyperbolic dynamics*, Math. Ann. **364** (2016), no. 1-2, 401–438.

[Gab01] D. Gabai, *The Smale conjecture for hyperbolic 3-manifolds:* $\mathrm{Isom}(M^3) \simeq \mathrm{Diff}(M^3)$, J. Differential Geom. **58** (2001), no. 1, 113–149.

[GK97] D. Gabai and W. H. Kazez, *Homotopy, isotopy and genuine laminations of* 3*-manifolds*, 123–138.

[Hat76] A. Hatcher, *Homeomorphisms of sufficiently large* P^2*-irreducible* 3*-manifolds*, Topology **15** (1976), no. 4, 343–347.

[Hat83] ———, *A proof of the Smale conjecture,* $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3) \simeq \mathrm{O}(4)$, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 3, 553–607.

[Iva76] N. V. Ivanov, *Groups of diffeomorphisms of Waldhausen manifolds*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **66** (1976), 172–176, 209, Studies in topology, II.

[KH95] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.

[Wes77] J. E. West, *Mapping Hilbert cube manifolds to ANR's: a solution of a conjecture of Borsuk*, Ann. of Math. (2) **106** (1977), no. 1, 1–18.

Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario
E-mail address: thomas.barthelme@queensu.ca
URL: sites.google.com/site/thomasbarthelme

Binghamton University (SUNY), Binghamton, NY
E-mail address: agogolev@math.binghamton.edu